UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,430	01/06/2005	Serge Haumont	60282.00233	5267
	7590 04/18/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE			FOUD, HICHAM B	
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,430	HAUMONT, SERGE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HICHAM B. FOUD	2619			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/06</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 20-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 January 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a) accepted or b) objected				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/06/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/520,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because is not in a separate page and it reads like a claim. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following: (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;

Application/Control Number: 10/520,430 Page 3

Art Unit: 2619

(2) if an article, its method of making;

(3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Objections

4. In claims 20-38, note that claim scope is not limited by claim language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed, or by claim language that does not limit a claim to a particular structure, such as by using the term "adapting" and/or "adapted". Therefore, claim language following this phrase will not be considered. It is suggested that Applicant remove this term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 20, line 9, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "the" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967). Similar problem occurs to claims 21-38. Also, in claim 20, the recitation "adapting said

Art Unit: 2619

application level message" is vague and unclear because it is not known what the adaptation is for or to what and the term "adapting" is confusing since it is not known if it is a part of the claimed limitation or just optional (see the objection above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20-23, 27 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Benedyk et al (US 6,990,089) hereinafter is referred to as Benedyk.

For claim 20, Benedyk discloses a method of carrying an application level message encapsulated inside a signaling message of an access network, said method comprising the steps of: receiving an application level message from a sender application process to an access network signaling process (see column 4 lines 25-26; RAN gateway receives an application from RNC); adapting said application level message and encapsulating it in a signaling message of an access network (see column 4 lines 27-29; RAN encapsulates the application within an SS7); and delivering said encapsulated application level message to a receiver application process by transmitting said signaling message, wherein said encapsulated application level message is transparent to the means of said access network transmitting said signaling

message (see Figure 3; RAN gateway delivers the message to the core network), and wherein said application level message includes an indication under which conditions the signaling message should be delivered (see column 4 lines 46-48; the RAN gateway will the deliver the message in the conditions of receiving ATM; to reduce the need for ATM).

Claim 36 is rejected for same reasons as claim 20.

For claim 21, Benedyk discloses a method, wherein said sender application process is performed in a mobile terminal being attached to said access network (see Figure 3; mobile terminals are attached to RNC by Node B).

For claim 22, Benedyk discloses a method, wherein said sender application process is performed in a server providing a corresponding application (see Figure 3, core network).

For claim 23, Benedyk discloses a method, wherein said indication comprises an address of the application receiver process being one of the group comprising a logical name, an IP address, and a port number (see column 4 lines 43-46; the use of TCP/IP that defines the port number).

For claim 25, Benedyk discloses a method, wherein said method is implemented in a call establishment procedure for Voice over the Internet Protocol (see Figure 5 and column 6 lines 41-43; 302 includes IP elements such as media gateway controller; media gateway controller provides VOIP).

Art Unit: 2619

For claim 27, Benedyk discloses a method wherein said application server is one of the group of proxy call state control function means, push proxy server means, and instant message server means (see Figure 3, RNC).

Page 6

For claim 37, Benedyk discloses a system, further comprising a server adapted to perform said sender application process (see Figure 3, RNC).

For claim 38, Benedyk discloses a system wherein said server is one of the group of proxy call state control function means, push proxy server means, and instant message server means (see Figure 3, RNC).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

When responding to this office action, applicants are advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which they think the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Applicants must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37C.F.R 1.111(c). In addition, applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and pages numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner in locating the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HICHAM B. FOUD whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/520,430 Page 7

Art Unit: 2619

(571)270-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-3 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hicham B Foud/ Examiner, Art Unit 2619 04/15/2008

/CHAU T. NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619